

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

E-FILED on 10/15/10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, YAHOO! INC.,
IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and LYCOS
INC.,

Defendants.

GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, YAHOO! INC.,
IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and LYCOS
INC.,

Counter-Claimants,

v.

L. DANIEL EGGER, SOFTWARE RIGHTS
ARCHIVE LLC, and SITE TECHNOLOGIES,
INC.,

Counter-Defendants.

No. C-08-03172 RMW
No. C-10-03723 RMW


ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND
DENYING IN PART MOTION TO SEAL

[Re Docket No. 200]

1 Plaintiff Software Rights Archive LLC ("SRA") moves to file under seal its response to
2 defendants' statement in support of stay pending reexamination of patents-in-suit as well as attached
3 exhibits. A request to seal must establish that the document, or portions thereof, is privileged or
4 protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. Civ. L. R. 79-5(a).
5 The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing of only sealable material. *Id.*

6 Having reviewed the material that SRA seeks to file under seal, the court finds no basis for
7 filing SRA's entire response under seal. The motion to seal is thus granted in part and denied in part.
8 All of the exhibits attached to SRA's response may be filed under seal. In addition, the following
9 portions of SRA's response may be filed under seal: lines 5 to 28 on page 5 and lines 1 to 5 on page
10 6. All other portions of SRA's response must be publicly filed. Pursuant to Local Rule 79-5(e),
11 SRA has 4 days to resubmit the documents in a manner that conforms to this order.

12
13
14
15 DATED: 10/15/10



RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge